

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

Marshall

District FY05 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:
  - A. Erosion Control
  - B. Water Quality/Resource Protection
2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:
3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district or for each geographic region. Weight must be between 1 and 5:

Factor	Resource Priority	Geographic Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	H+		10
A2 Gully Control	M		3
B1 Water Resource	H		8
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	M+		6
C Wildlife Habitat	L+		2
D Air Quality	L		1
E Impaired Water	L		1
F Distance	L+		2
G Grazing System	M+		5
H Forest Mgt.	L		1
Additional Local*	H+		10

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. **The LWG determined that erosion, specifically wind erosion, was the number one resource concern in the Marshall District and, therefore, applications for the 380 practice will score extra points under item I with a weighted multiplying factor of 10.**

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district..
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Tim Finseth

11/24/04

Chair, Local Work Group

Date